

To: Michael D. Roy
Executive Editor, The American Journal of Psychiatry

From: David A. Brent, MD
Academic Chief, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
Western Psychiatric Hospital
Endowed Chair in Suicide Studies
Professor of Psychiatry, Pediatrics, and Epidemiology
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine

Date: 6/19/2019

Re: Kennard, Betsy D., et al. "As Safe as Possible (ASAP): a brief app-supported inpatient intervention to prevent postdischarge suicidal behavior in hospitalized, suicidal adolescents." American Journal of Psychiatry 175.9 (2018): 864-872

The Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire- Jr. (Reynolds WM, Mazza JJ, 1999) consists of 15 items each scored on a seven-point scale from 0 ("I never had this thought") to 6 (Almost every day"). A total score ranging from 0 to 90 is calculated as the sum of each item. "Clinically significant" suicidal ideation is defined as a total score greater or equal to 31.

In our database, we incorrectly coded each item on a 1 to 7 scale, which inflated the total score by 15 points. Therefore, the means and the cut-point for "clinically significant" suicidal ideation we reported are incorrect. Corrections are below:

1) Page 865 – Methods

Reported: "significant suicidal ideation (mean=66.6 [SD=22.0]), as measured by the Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire–Junior High School Version"

Corrected: "significant suicidal ideation (mean=51.6 [SD=22.0]), as measured by the Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire–Junior High School Version"

2) Page 868 - Results

Reported: "Participants who were lost to follow-up, compared with participants who were retained for at least one assessment, had higher baseline suicidal ideation (mean=78.3 [SD=7.2] compared with mean=65.5 [SD=22.7], $t=3.11$, $p=0.01$)"

Corrected: “Participants who were lost to follow-up, compared with participants who were retained for at least one assessment, had higher baseline suicidal ideation (mean=63.3 [SD=7.2] compared with mean=50.5 [SD=22.7], $t=3.11$, $p=0.01$)”

3) Supplemental Data - Table 1. Demographic and Baseline Clinical Characteristics (N=66)

Reported

	TAU Condition (N=32)		ASAP+TAU Condition (N=34)		P value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SIQ-Jr	67.0	24.9	66.3	19.2	0.89

Corrected

	TAU Condition (N=32)		ASAP+TAU Condition (N=34)		P value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
SIQ-Jr	52.0	24.9	51.3	19.2	0.89