

## Appendix


Included in this Appendix is the sensitivity analysis (Table A1) as requested by the reviewers (Reviewer 1, Comment 3; Reviewer 2, Comment 2).

Table A1. IOM-Concordant Predicted Rates of Adequate Care by Race and Gender using Alternative Definition of Adequate Care


		Adequate Care Given Any MH Care (n=3,067)				Adequate Care (>=2 visits) Given Any MH Care (n=3,067) [Sensitivity Test]							
		Male		Female		Male		Female					
		Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE				
Race/Ethnicity	white	27.93%	1.97%	24.49%	1.30%	59.20%	2.30%	58.50%	1.50%				
	black	35.83%	4.48%	26.11%	2.58%	61.20%	4.50%	54.50%	2.60%				
	latino	22.48%	2.72%	26.67%	1.55%	58.90%	3.40%	63.20%	1.70%				
	asian	32.54%	8.27%	26.75%	5.33%	67.90%	9.30%	48.90%	5.40%				
Race/Ethnicity Differences Within Gender	w-b diff	-7.90%	4.89%	p=.11	-1.62%	2.89%	p=.57	-2.00%	5.05%	p=.689	4.00%	3.00%	p=.184
	w-l diff	5.45%	3.36%	p=.10	-2.18%	2.02%	p=.28	0.30%	4.10%	p=.944	-4.70%	2.27%	p=.038
	w-a diff	-4.61%	8.50%	p=.59	-2.26%	5.49%	p=.68	-8.70%	9.58%	p=.363	9.60%	5.60%	p=.085
Gender Differences within Race/Ethnicity	white diff		Estimate	SE			Estimate	SE					
	black diff		<b>-3.44%</b>	2.37%	p=.15		<b>-9.72%</b>	<b>5.02%</b>	<b>p=.05</b>		-0.70%	2.90%	p=.810
	latino diff		4.19%	3.28%	p=.20		-6.70%	5.00%	p=.180		4.30%	4.10%	p=.294
	asian diff		-5.79%	10.27%	p=.57		-19.00%	11.00%	p=.086				
Difference-in-Difference	wb dd		6.28%	5.22%	p=.23		6.00%	5.60%	p=.285				
	wl dd		<b>-7.63%</b>	<b>3.78%</b>	<b>p=.04</b>		-5.00%	4.80%	p=.298				
	wa dd		2.35%	10.50%	p=.82		18.30%	11.30%	p=.105				

Differences in bold are significant at the p<.05 level

**Figure 1. IOM-Concordant Rates for Screening, Any MH Care, and Minimally Adequate Care by Race and Gender Adjusting for Age and Severity**



\*\*White females are more likely to get screened than White males. This is not true for Blacks and the difference-in-difference is significant.



\*\*White females are less likely to receive adequate care than White males. This pattern is opposite for Latinos and the difference in patterns is marginally significant (p=.06)