Online supplement for Hung et al., DOI: 10.1176/appi.ps.202000203

Rurality of Facility	Facility Ownership	Marginal Differences	95% CI	р
Locations (Ref. Urban)		(%)		I.
Large Rural	Private For-Profit	11.01	.48-21.54	.040
2	Private Non-Profit	10.83	5.93-15.72	<.001
	Public	8.89	4.51-13.27	<.001
Small Rural	Private For-Profit	11.75	59-24.09	.062
	Private Non-Profit	18.32	12.97-23.67	<.001
	Public	9.35	4.64-14.06	<.001
Isolated Rural	Private For-Profit	1.08	-20.56-22.72	.922
	Private Non-Profit	20.12	12.71-27.54	<.001
	Public	8.76	2.15-15.37	.009
Facility Type (Ref. other mental health facility)				
Hospital Outpatient Settings		15.67	11.85–19.49	<.001
Residential Programs		-5.12	-14.39-4.15	.323
Community Mental Health Facility		11.60	9.13-14.07	<.001
Payment Accepted (Ref. not accepting indicated payment				
type)				
Cash or Self-Payment		-4.27	-7.9756	.027
Medicare		6.21	3.20-9.21	<.001
Medicaid		-5.72	-9.671.76	.006
Private Insurance		1.02	-2.48-4.53	.566
Age Group Accepted for Treatment (Ref. not accepting				
indicated age group)				
Children/Adolescents (Age ≤ 17)		1.13	-1.61–3.88	.418
Young Adults (18-25)		16.82	11.02-22.63	<.001
Adults (26-64)		-2.09	-6.62–2.44	.370
Senior (65+)		7.55	5.02-10.08	<.001
Proportion of Residents Who Are:				
Males vs. Females		.22	12–.57	.206
Proportion of Residents Who Are White (Ref. quartile 1,				
<61.5%)				
Quartile 2 (61.5%-80.8%)		2.96	27–6.19	.072
Quartile 3 (80.9%-91.5%)		3.73	.09–7.37	.044
Quartile 4 (91.6%-100%)		2.07	-2.18-6.32	.340
Proportion of Residents Who Are American Indian or				
Alaska Natives (Ref. quartile 1, $<11.4\%$)				
Quartile 2 (11.4%-30.4%)		3.92	.91–6.93	.011
Quartile 3 $(30.5\%-70.7\%)$		3.34	.22-6.45	.036
Quartile 4 (70.8%-96.6%)		4.15	.47-7.83	.027
Population below 200% Federal Poverty Level		1.00	.12–1.88	.025

Appendix Table. Marginal differences in the predicted probabilities of suicide prevention program provisions by facility and community characteristics

^a The 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using delta methods with state-level clustering to adjust for correlated random variances in service provision across facilities in the same state; spatial autocorrelations adjusted for clustering service provisions.