

[Type here]

Online supplement for 10.1176/appi.ps.20230257

Supplemental Tables, Means

Characteristics of Survey Respondents

	All (N=155)		CA (n=87)		WA (n=68)		F	p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Collecting/reporting information for compliance	5.25	1.91	5.82	1.48	4.35	2.18	21.56	<.001
Directly providing tax funded services	4.71	2.27	4.95	2.17	4.35	2.40	2.41	0.12
Making decisions services to fund with tax revenue	5.52	1.90	5.59	1.98	5.44	1.80	0.46	0.5
Evaluating the impacts of tax funded services	5.40	1.66	5.59	1.55	5.16	1.78	2.13	0.15
Establishing relationships with external partners related to the tax	5.67	1.60	5.88	1.49	5.40	1.70	3.01	0.09
Strategically planning how tax revenue can be spent	5.53	1.84	5.72	1.89	5.26	1.76	0.001	0.97
Monitoring how tax revenue is spent for compliance	5.34	1.83	5.65	1.78	4.85	1.83	0.27	0.60

[Type here]

Online supplement for 10.1176/appi.ps.20230257

Perceptions of the Impacts of the Earmarked Tax

	All (N=155)		CA (n=87)		WA (n=68)		F	p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
The tax increases funding for direct behavioral health/social services	6.22	1.23	6.34	1.03	6.06	1.44	2.06	0.15
The tax increases funding for improvements to behavioral health/social services systems	5.95	1.39	5.99	1.32	5.90	1.47	0.17	0.68
The tax increases access to direct behavioral health/social services for people with the highest need	5.83	1.40	5.94	1.40	5.68	1.4	1.38	0.24
The tax increases flexibility to address complex behavioral health/social service needs	5.77	1.39	5.79	1.30	5.73	1.52	0.07	0.79
The tax increases the number of people served by evidence-based practices	5.58	1.60	5.7	1.41	5.43	1.81	1.07	0.30
The tax increases public awareness about behavioral health issues	4.75	1.74	5.29	1.63	4.05	1.62	21.81	<.001
The tax increases transparency about behavioral health/social services systems	4.68	1.78	4.91	1.7	4.38	1.86	3.19	0.08
The tax decreases stigma about behavioral health issues	4.35	1.9	4.89	1.86	3.64	1.72	18.03	<.001
The tax increases unjustified public/political scrutiny about behavioral health/social services systems	3.30	2.05	4.19	2.07	2.15	1.35	47.40	<.001
The tax decreases funding from other sources (e.g., general county/state budgets) for behavioral health/social services*	2.63	1.82	2.82	1.93	2.39	1.66	2.01	0.16

[Type here]

Online supplement for 10.1176/appi.ps.20230257

Perceptions of the Attributes of the Earmarked Tax

	All (N=155)		CA (n=87)		WA (n=68)		F	p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Relative advantage: It is better to have the tax than not	6.40	1.36	6.32	1.42	6.50	1.29	0.65	0.42
Relative advantage: The tax is better than alternative strategies to increase funding for behavioral health services	4.87	1.59	5.21	1.47	4.43	1.64	9.39	0.003
Compatibility: The tax is flexible enough to allow behavioral health service organizations to meet the unique needs of the communities they serve	4.82	1.69	4.62	1.73	5.08	1.62	2.75	0.10
Compatibility: The tax is compatible with the financing structures of behavioral health service organizations	4.65	1.73	4.79	1.75	4.48	1.71	1.15	0.29
Complexity: It is hard to understand what is and is not a permissible use of revenue from the tax	2.80	1.56	3.21	1.62	2.28	1.31	14.43	<.001
Complexity: It is complicated to satisfy reporting requirements related to using revenue from the tax	3.93	2.13	4.94	1.86	2.56	1.67	65.63	<.001
Observability: The impact of the tax on the number of people who receive services is easy to observe	4.55	1.72	4.76	1.69	4.28	1.73	2.97	0.09
Observability: The impact of the tax on the behavioral health status of communities is easy to observe	4.49	1.69	4.59	1.77	4.37	1.58	0.68	0.41
Trialability: The tax allows behavioral health service organizations to try new services and assess whether they meet needs before taking the services to scale	5.20	1.54	5.54	1.38	4.74	1.64	10.67	0.001
Trialability: The rules related to how revenue from the tax can be spent can be easily changed to address emergent needs	2.76	1.59	2.44	1.58	3.16	1.51	8.29	0.01

[Type here]

Online supplement for 10.1176/appi.ps.20230257

Perceptions of Support for the Earmarked Tax

	All (N=155)		CA (n=87)		WA (n=68)		F	p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Consumers of behavioral health services in my jurisdiction	5.91	1.31	6.1	1.26	5.65	1.35	3.44	0.07
State behavioral health agency officials in my state	5.87	1.37	5.73	1.44	6.06	1.25	3.00	0.09
Local elected officials in my jurisdiction	5.51	1.51	5.19	1.62	5.91	1.25	7.31	0.01
State elected officials in my state	5.23	1.56	4.85	1.69	5.74	1.20	8.28	0.01
The general public in my jurisdiction	5.05	1.43	5.09	1.55	5.00	1.26	3.08	0.08